	Case 1:22-cv-00936-ADA-GSA Docume	ent 5 Filed 11/03/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ADAM E.,	No. 1:22-cv-00936-ADA-GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
13	v.	CASE, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
14	CURRY, et al.,	COURT ORDER
15	Defendant.	(ECF No. 4)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Adam E. is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action filed	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	Plaintiff filed his complaint with two other co-plaintiffs on September 29, 2021. (ECF	
22	No. 2.) On July 28, 2022, the Magistrate Judge issued an order severing the plaintiffs and	
23	requiring each of them to file an amended complaint and either to pay the filing fee or file an	
24	application to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff did not respond to this order. ¹	
25	On August 11, 2022, the United States Postmaster returned the order severing the parties and order to file an	
26	amended complaint to the Court as undeliverable. The returned mail included the notation, "Undeliverable, Return to Sender, not Deliverable as Addressed." The Court received a similar message when the Postmaster returned the findings and recommendations on September 26, 2022. Plaintiff has not filed notice of a change of address. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), "[a]bsent such notice, service of documents at the prior address of the pro se party shall be fully effective."	
27 28		
20		

On September 15, 2022, the Magistrate Judge entered findings and recommendations suggesting that this action be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to comply a court order. (ECF No. 4.) Plaintiff had fourteen days to file objections. That two-week time period has expired, and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued September 15, 2022, (ECF No. 4), are adopted, in full; 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's order; and 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 2, 2022